

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

	_			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,127	12/29/1999	HIDEAKI OKADA	0666.023000E	1901
7:	590 08/20/2003			
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 200053934		DEPUMPO, DANIEL G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/474,127	OKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel G. DePumpo	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	<u>luly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 13				

Art Unit: 3611

، لرد ر.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 2. Claims 7 and 13 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hauser.

Hauser discloses an apparatus having the structure as claimed. The apparatus includes a housing 11, an HST 10, axles 18, bevel and pinion gears (i.e. near element 17), and a ring gear 53.

3. Claims 7 and 13 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being <u>clearly anticipated</u> by Nemoto '820.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

- 4. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. In view of the decision in Patent Interference No. 104,311, it is determined that applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter such as the gearing arrangement.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,127

Art Unit: 3611

، لمنز به

Applicant argues that Hauser doesn't teach that the transmission is disposed within the same housing as the axles, that the pinion gears are within the ring gear, nor that the ring gear is supported by the axles. The examiner does not agree. The examiner considers that the various casings that contain the transmission and axles are fastened together to constitute a single "housing" to the same degree as claimed. The examiner also considers element 17 to be part of the ring gear. Therefore, the pinion gears are within the ring gear, and the ring gear is supported by the axles.

Regarding Nemoto, the certified translation has not been filed in the instant application.

Consequently, the rejection is still proper. Moreover, the pump of Nemoto is considered to be "located between" the motor and the axles to the same degree as broadly claimed and disclosed in the instant application.

Regarding the 102(f) rejection, in view of the Board decision that applicants did not invent the subject matter of the invention, the rejection is deemed proper.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3611

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1113.

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 8/19/03